

Comparative Analysis of Census 2010 Population Count

ENTITY	2010 Census Population	2000 Census Population	Change in Population 2000 to 2010	% Change in Population 2000 to 2010	2009 Estimate Population	2010 Census to 2009 Est. Difference	2010 Census to 2009 Est. % Difference	2010 TCRPC Projection	2010 Census to 2010 Proj. Difference	2010 Census to 2010 Proj. % Difference	2010 RANK	2000 RANK	2000 to 2010 RANK CHANGE
Bath charter township	11598	7541	4,057	53.8%	11543	55	0.5%	10513	1,085	10.3%	7	11	Up 4
Bengal township	1188	1174	14	1.2%	1237	-49	-4.0%	1252	-64	-5.1%	64	64	Same
Bingham township	2859	2511	348	13.9%	2477	382	15.4%	2413	446	18.5%	32	34	Up 2
Dallas township	2369	2323	46	2.0%	2308	61	2.6%	2356	13	0.6%	40	39	Down 1
<i>Fowler village</i>	1208	1133	75	6.6%	1050	158	15.0%	1086	122	11.2%	63	65	Up 2
<i>Dallas township remainder</i>	1161	1190	-29	-2.4%	1258	-97	-7.7%	1270	-109	-8.6%	-----	-----	-----
DeWitt city	4507	4702	-195	-4.1%	4421	86	1.9%	4412	95	2.2%	17	16	Down 1
DeWitt charter township	14321	12085	2,236	18.5%	13100	1,221	9.3%	14001	320	2.3%	6	6	Same
Duplain township	2363	2329	34	1.5%	2283	80	3.5%	2342	21	0.9%	42	36	Down 6
<i>Elsie village</i>	966	1055	-89	-8.4%	978	-12	-1.2%	1009	-43	-4.3%	66	66	Same
<i>Duplain township remainder</i>	1397	1274	123	9.7%	1305	92	7.0%	1333	64	4.8%	-----	-----	-----
Eagle township	2671	2341	330	14.1%	2302	369	16.0%	2378	293	12.3%	35	35	Same
<i>Eagle village</i>	123	130	-7	-5.4%	120	3	2.5%	141	-18	-12.8%	74	74	Same
<i>Eagle township remainder</i>	2548	2211	337	15.2%	2182	366	16.8%	2237	311	13.9%	-----	-----	-----
East Lansing city (pt.)	1969	92	1,877	2,040.2%	712	1,257	176.5%	1022	947	92.7%	-----	-----	-----
Essex township	1910	1812	98	5.4%	1820	90	4.9%	1857	53	2.9%	49	50	Up 1
<i>Maple Rapids village</i>	672	643	29	4.5%	598	74	12.4%	619	53	8.6%	69	70	Up 1
<i>Essex township remainder</i>	1238	1169	69	5.9%	1222	16	1.3%	1238	0	0.0%	-----	-----	-----
Grand Ledge city (pt.)	2	2	0	0.0%	18	-16	-88.9%	5	-3	-60.0%	-----	-----	-----
Greenbush township	2199	2113	86	4.1%	2094	105	5.0%	2145	54	2.5%	44	43	Down 1
Lebanon township	605	705	-100	-14.2%	801	-196	-24.5%	800	-195	-24.4%	70	69	Down 1
<i>Hubbardston village (pt.)</i>	44	42	2	4.8%	40	4	10.0%	-----	44	-----	75	75	Same
<i>Lebanon township remainder</i>	561	663	-102	-15.4%	761	-200	-26.3%	800	-239	-29.9%	-----	-----	-----
Olive township	2476	2324	152	6.5%	2294	182	7.9%	2344	132	5.6%	37	38	Up 1
Ovid township	3795	3490	305	8.7%	3373	422	12.5%	3464	331	9.6%	23	23	Same
<i>Ovid village (pt.)</i>	1597	1512	85	5.6%	1397	200	14.3%	1422	175	12.3%	57	57	Same
<i>Ovid township remainder</i>	2198	1978	220	11.1%	1976	222	11.2%	2042	156	7.6%	-----	-----	-----
Riley township	2024	1767	257	14.5%	1778	246	13.8%	1813	211	11.6%	47	52	Up 5
St. Johns city	7865	7750	115	1.5%	7300	565	7.7%	7595	270	3.6%	11	10	Down 1
Victor township	3460	3275	185	5.6%	3185	275	8.6%	3262	198	6.1%	27	27	Same
Watertown charter township	4836	4160	676	16.3%	4607	229	5.0%	4823	13	0.3%	16	17	Up 1
Westphalia township	2365	2257	108	4.8%	2240	125	5.6%	2288	77	3.4%	41	40	Down 1
<i>Westphalia village</i>	923	876	47	5.4%	813	110	13.5%	839	84	10.0%	67	67	Same
<i>Westphalia township remainder</i>	1442	1381	61	4.4%	1427	15	1.1%	1449	-7	-0.5%	-----	-----	-----
Clinton County	75382	64753	10,629	16.4%	69893	5,489	7.9%	71084	4,298	6.0%	-----	-----	-----

Comparative Analysis of Census 2010 Population Count

ENTITY	2010 Census Population	2000 Census Population	Change in Population 2000 to 2010	% Change in Population 2000 to 2010	2009 Estimate Population	2010 Census to 2009 Est. Difference	2010 Census to 2009 Est. % Difference	2010 TCRPC Projection	2010 Census to 2010 Proj. Difference	2010 Census to 2010 Proj. % Difference	2010 RANK	2000 RANK	2000 to 2010 RANK CHANGE
Bellevue township	3150	3144	6	0.2%	3228	-78	-2.4%	3284	-134	-4.1%	30	28	Down 2
<i>Bellevue village</i>	1282	1388	-106	-7.6%	1345	-63	-4.7%	1381	-99	-7.2%	59	60	Up 1
<i>Bellevue township remainder</i>	1868	1756	112	6.4%	1883	-15	-0.8%	1903	-35	-1.8%	----	----	----
Benton township	2796	2712	84	3.1%	2809	-13	-0.5%	2970	-174	-5.9%	34	32	Down 2
Brookfield township	1537	1429	108	7.6%	1551	-14	-0.9%	1556	-19	-1.2%	58	59	Up 1
Carmel township	2855	2626	229	8.7%	2730	125	4.6%	2713	142	5.2%	33	33	Same
Charlotte city	9074	8853	221	2.5%	8915	159	1.8%	8150	924	11.3%	8	7	Down 1
Chester township	1747	1778	-31	-1.7%	1898	-151	-8.0%	1908	-161	-8.4%	54	51	Down 3
Delta charter township	32408	29614	2,794	9.4%	30759	1,649	5.4%	30736	1,672	5.4%	4	4	Same
Eaton township	4073	3814	259	6.8%	3892	181	4.7%	4869	-796	-16.3%	19	19	Same
Eaton Rapids city	5214	5335	-121	-2.3%	5205	9	0.2%	5039	175	3.5%	14	14	Same
Eaton Rapids township	4113	3821	292	7.6%	3901	212	5.4%	3858	255	6.6%	18	18	Same
Grand Ledge city (pt.)	7784	7804	-20	-0.3%	7600	184	2.4%	7218	566	7.8%	----	----	----
Hamlin township	3343	2948	395	13.4%	3051	292	9.6%	3327	16	0.5%	28	31	Up 3
Kalamo township	1842	1742	100	5.7%	1872	-30	-1.6%	1880	-38	-2.0%	52	54	Up 2
Lansing city (pt.)	4734	4876	-142	-2.9%	4705	29	0.6%	3888	846	21.8%	----	----	----
Olivet city	1605	1758	-153	-8.7%	1827	-222	-12.2%	1782	-177	-9.9%	56	53	Down 3
Oneida charter township	3865	3703	162	4.4%	3959	-94	-2.4%	4347	-482	-11.1%	21	20	Down 1
Potterville city	2617	2168	449	20.7%	2143	474	22.1%	2001	616	30.8%	36	42	Up 6
Roxand township	1848	1903	-55	-2.9%	2024	-176	-8.7%	2031	-183	-9.0%	51	49	Down 2
<i>Mulliken village</i>	553	557	-4	-0.7%	545	8	1.5%	552	1	0.2%	73	72	Down 1
<i>Roxand township remainder</i>	1295	1346	-51	-3.8%	1479	-184	-12.4%	1479	-184	-12.4%	----	----	----
Sunfield township	1997	2177	-180	-8.3%	2289	-292	-12.8%	2307	-310	-13.4%	48	41	Down 7
<i>Sunfield village</i>	578	591	-13	-2.2%	579	-1	-0.2%	415	163	39.3%	71	71	Same
<i>Sunfield township remainder</i>	1419	1586	-167	-10.5%	1710	-291	-17.0%	1892	-473	-25.0%	----	----	----
Vermontville township	2053	2100	-47	-2.2%	2222	-169	-7.6%	2240	-187	-8.3%	46	44	Down 2
<i>Vermontville village</i>	759	797	-38	-4.8%	782	-23	-2.9%	793	-34	-4.3%	68	68	Same
<i>Vermontville township remainder</i>	1294	1303	-9	-0.7%	1440	-146	-10.1%	1447	-153	-10.6%	----	----	----
Walton township	2266	2011	255	12.7%	2132	134	6.3%	2148	118	5.5%	43	47	Up 4
Windsor charter township	6838	7340	-502	-6.8%	7365	-527	-7.2%	8263	-1,425	-17.2%	13	12	Down 1
<i>Dimondale village</i>	1234	1340	-106	-7.9%	1306	-72	-5.5%	1341	-107	-8.0%	61	61	Same
<i>Windsor township remainder</i>	5604	6000	-396	-6.6%	6059	-455	-7.5%	6922	-1,318	-19.0%	----	----	----
Eaton County	107759	103656	4,103	4.0%	106077	1,682	1.6%	106515	1,244	1.2%	----	----	----

Comparative Analysis of Census 2010 Population Count

ENTITY	2010 Census Population	2000 Census Population	Change in Population 2000 to 2010	% Change in Population 2000 to 2010	2009 Estimate Population	2010 Census to 2009 Est. Difference	2010 Census to 2009 Est. % Difference	2010 TCRPC Projection	2010 Census to 2010 Proj. Difference	2010 Census to 2010 Proj. % Difference	2010 RANK	2000 RANK	2000 to 2010 RANK CHANGE
Alaiedon township	2894	3044	-150	-4.9%	3064	-170	-5.5%	2787	107	3.8%	31	29	Down 2
Aurelius township	3525	3318	207	6.2%	3546	-21	-0.6%	3446	79	2.3%	26	26	Same
Bunker Hill township	2119	1979	140	7.1%	2047	72	3.5%	2052	67	3.3%	45	48	Up 3
Delhi charter township	25877	22496	3,381	15.0%	26078	-201	-0.8%	24579	1,298	5.3%	5	5	Same
East Lansing city (pt.)	46610	46511	99	0.2%	44851	1,759	3.9%	45535	1,075	2.4%	----	----	----
Ingham township	2452	2061	391	19.0%	2069	383	18.5%	2043	409	20.0%	38	45	Up 7
<i>Dansville village</i>	563	429	134	31.2%	436	127	29.1%	435	128	29.4%	72	73	Up 1
<i>Ingham township remainder</i>	1889	1632	257	15.7%	1633	256	15.7%	1608	281	17.5%	----	----	----
Lansing city (pt.)	109563	114481	-4,918	-4.3%	109097	466	0.4%	107461	2,102	2.0%	----	----	----
Lansing charter township	8126	8458	-332	-3.9%	7892	234	3.0%	7811	315	4.0%	10	8	Down 2
Leroy township	3530	3653	-123	-3.4%	3737	-207	-5.5%	3666	-136	-3.7%	25	21	Down 4
<i>Webberville village</i>	1272	1509	-237	-15.7%	1494	-222	-14.9%	1505	-233	-15.5%	60	58	Down 2
<i>Leroy township remainder</i>	2258	2144	114	5.3%	2243	15	0.7%	2161	97	4.5%	----	----	----
Leslie city	1851	2044	-193	-9.4%	2274	-423	-18.6%	2192	-341	-15.6%	50	46	Down 4
Leslie township	2389	2327	62	2.7%	2459	-70	-2.8%	2510	-121	-4.8%	39	37	Down 2
Locke township	1791	1671	120	7.2%	1767	24	1.4%	1743	48	2.8%	53	55	Up 2
Mason city	8252	7173	1,079	15.0%	8144	108	1.3%	7741	511	6.6%	9	13	Up 4
Meridian charter township	39688	39105	583	1.5%	38512	1,176	3.1%	38493	1,195	3.1%	3	3	Same
Onondaga township	3158	2958	200	6.8%	3113	45	1.4%	3134	24	0.8%	29	30	Up 1
Stockbridge township	3896	3435	461	13.4%	3747	149	4.0%	3636	260	7.2%	20	25	Up 5
<i>Stockbridge village</i>	1218	1260	-42	-3.3%	1275	-57	-4.5%	1275	-57	-4.5%	62	62	Same
<i>Stockbridge township remainder</i>	2678	2175	503	23.1%	2472	206	8.3%	2361	317	13.4%	----	----	----
Vevay township	3537	3605	-68	-1.9%	3671	-134	-3.7%	3556	-19	-0.5%	24	22	Down 2
Wheatfield township	1632	1641	-9	-0.5%	1624	8	0.5%	1943	-311	-16.0%	55	56	Up 1
White Oak township	1173	1177	-4	-0.3%	1260	-87	-6.9%	1201	-28	-2.3%	65	63	Down 2
Williamston city	3854	3443	411	11.9%	3783	71	1.9%	3120	734	23.5%	22	24	Up 2
Williamstown township	4978	4832	146	3.0%	4898	80	1.6%	5013	-35	-0.7%	15	15	Same
Ingham County	280895	279412	1,483	0.5%	277633	3,262	1.2%	273660	7,235	2.6%	----	----	----

Comparative Analysis of Census 2010 Population Count

ENTITY	2010 Census Population	2000 Census Population	Change in Population 2000 to 2010	% Change in Population 2000 to 2010	2009 Estimate Population	2010 Census to 2009 Est. Difference	2010 Census to 2009 Est. % Difference	2010 TCRPC Projection	2010 Census to 2010 Proj. Difference	2010 Census to 2010 Proj. % Difference	2010 RANK	2000 RANK	2000 to 2010 RANK CHANGE
Tri-County Region	464036	447821	16,215	3.6%	453603	10,433	2.3%	451260	12,776	2.8%	-----	-----	-----

Combined Multi-County Cities													
East Lansing city	48579	46603	1,976	4.2%	45563	3,016	6.6%	46557	2,022	4.3%	2	2	Same
Grand Ledge city	7786	7806	-20	-0.3%	7618	168	2.2%	7223	563	7.8%	12	9	Down 3
Lansing city	114297	119357	-5,060	-4.2%	113802	495	0.4%	111349	2,948	2.6%	1	1	Same

Combined Extra-regional Villages													
Hubbardston village	395	394	1	0.3%	387	8	2.1%						
Ovid village	1603	1514	89	5.9%	1399	204	14.6%						

88.9% of Hubbardston's 2010 population is in Ionia County, outside of the Tri-County Region.

99.6% of Ovid's 2010 population is within the Tri-County Region, 0.4% in Shiawasee County.