



TCRPC BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING MINUTES

March 28, 2018 (Wednesday) at 6:00 p.m.
Tri-County Regional Planning Commission

- I. **Call to Order – Pledge of Allegiance – Roll Call**
Ch/Draheim called the meeting to order at 6:01 p.m.
- II. **Approval of Agenda**
It was **MOVED** by C/Austin, **SUPPORTED** by C/Sebolt, to approve the Agenda as printed. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**
- III. **Approval of Minutes of February 28, 2018**
It was **MOVED** by C/Sebolt, **SUPPORTED** by C/Pohl, to approve the meeting minutes from February 28, 2018 as printed.
- IV. **Opportunity for Public Comment**
Ms. Vercilla Hart, of Rives Junction, Michigan, addressed the Commission. Ms. Hart stated that Rives Township's Master Plan changes at the Ingham County/Jackson County Border at Baseline Road. Ms. Hart expressed her concern regarding a proposed Natural Gas Fired Power Plant at this location. Rives Township is not within TCRPC boundary, but it is located directly adjacent to our boundary and could have impacts on our citizens.
- V. **Communications & Outreach**
 - A. **GLRC Annual Report**
Environmental Planner Walls presented the Greater Lansing Regional Committee for Stormwater Management 2017 Annual Report to the Commission.
 - B. **TCRPC 2017 Annual Report**
Communications Coordinator Baumer announced that the professionally printed TCRPC 2017 Annual Report is now available. The report contains a summary of the work done by the agency in 2017.
 - C. **Speaker Series**
Coordinator Baumer announced TCRPC is beginning a new speaker series, titled "Prosperity in Place," to address topics that are of regional interest for our partners. TCRPC hopes to bring in national speakers and industry experts. Proposed speaking topics include Autonomous Vehicles and Urban and Rural connections. The Commission requested a list of proposed topics. Commissioners can recommend additional topics to Coordinator Baumer.
 - D. **New Website Development**
Communications Coordinator Baumer stated that TCRPC is in the process of developing a new website and presented a preview of the potential new homepage. The goal of the new site is to improve our agency's transparency, professional and public credibility, and accessibility to documents. The new website will position TCRPC to be a better regional resource. Prior input from

Commissioners and staff, such as clearer meeting information, will be integrated into the new design and layout. TCRPC also plans to utilize the site to encourage traffic to and from our social media platforms.

Please give any comments and concerns regarding the new website to Coordinator Baumer. There was consensus that TCRPC does need a new website. Ch/Draheim stated that our current website stated does not show all the great work we currently do in the region.

E. Shirley M. Rodgers Distinguished Service Award Plaque

After Commissioner Rogers passing, many were wanting the Commission to honor her memory. After discussion, the Executive Committee decided that TCRPC would establish a “Distinguished Service Award,” dedicated to Commissioners who have gone above and beyond their call to service. Coordinator Baumer presented the finished plaque.

Ch/Draheim stated that there would not be a formal process for Commissioner nominations, but the Executive and Personnel Committee would accept nominations whenever compelled.

VI. Presentation

Interim Director Snell stated that the Transit Needs and Economic Prosperity study, conducted by The Corradino Group, has been completed. Economic Planner Elsinga tasked The Corradino Group to examine how the region can get more workers to and from work.

Earlier in the afternoon, The Corradino Group presented the study to area partners and organizations; it was well received. The findings showed the benefit of a regional transit system. With the study complete, transit agencies and economic partners can begin discussions on working together as a region to explore solutions with finite funding. The study will be shared in a public-friendly format in the near future.

Ken Kaltenbach of The Corradino Group gave a presentation on the transit study. There were recommended transit options that came from analysis of the study. The current theme was to organize transit in a coordinated, unified system. Moving forward, TCRPC staff would organize and prioritize the recommended options and then try to implement them.

Route improvements were also suggested, such as the creation of dedicated transfer centers to connect routes to existing transit service. Additional services could also be provided that may help workers obtain employment. The recommended options would increase transit ridership by 800 riders per weekday. Pilot studies may be used to determine effectiveness of route improvements. Different transit schedules were also recommended. A key finding of the study advocated for other transit operators to tie into CATA services and adjust transit service times to be in line with employer shift start and end times.

Commissioner Maxwell arrived at 6:37 p.m.

The proposed recommendations would have economic impacts of \$1,514,598,332 in the region from now through 2040 by predicting minimized employee turnover, increased employee earnings, and reduced car usage by employees driving to work.

Mr. Kaltenbach reviewed the estimated costs of the proposed, new and expanded services. Capital costs would be a total cost of \$945,000. Operating costs would be \$4,953,567 annually. The investment into the proposed expanded services would hypothetically bring economic benefits to the region at a 10:1 ratio of return. The benefits are calculated without any respect to population in the area increasing.

The original assignment and contract with The Corradino Group is completed. The Commissioners asked questions and discussed potential next steps. TCRPC staff will begin working with transit agencies, private sector partners, public partners, and the public to determine potential funding sources and pilot projects recommended from the study.

VII. Interim Director's Report

Interim Director Snell stated that he had included his report in the meeting materials for review. He stated there are encouraging activities happening in all the programs at TCRPC and the agency is being called upon to be a regional partner and resource. Today, for instance, there was a meeting to finalize the non-motorized plan and another with the Lansing Economic Area Partnership to discuss the McLaren Hospital move and Spartan Village redevelopment. If you have questions, please contact Interim Director Snell.

Commissioner Brixie arrived at 6:48 p.m.

VIII. Executive & Personnel Committee Report

A. Wellhead Protection Viewer Update Contract Approval

Environmental Planner Orr explained the purpose of the Wellhead Protection Viewer (WPV) to the Commission. The Wellhead Protection Viewer (WPV) is a map-centric Geographic Information System (GIS) database that is used by the Groundwater Management Board (GMB) and its regional planning partners. The WPV displays essential groundwater information, including Potential Contaminant Source Inventory data, Water Wells, and Time of Travel Areas, in map format, to assist area planners in making development decisions that may affect the region's drinking water supply.

GMB and East Lansing/Meridian Sewer Authority was approved for a \$10,000 Department of Environmental Quality grant to fund this project.

The GMB issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) and received several proposals from regional, statewide, and national firms. The GMB team reviewed those proposals on Friday, March 9, 2018, and made a final decision on March 14, 2018, to contract North Arrow Technologies, Inc. to initiate and complete the WPV upgrade.

It was MOVED by C/Watkins, SUPPORTED by C/Swope, to approve the Groundwater Management Board's selection of North Arrow Technologies, Inc. for Wellhead Protection Viewer upgrades to a modern, cloud-based system. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B. Working Group Reports

1. Bylaws & Operating Procedures Working Group Report

It was MOVED by C/Watkins, SUPPORTED by C/Eakin, to change the “shall” to “may” in section 1.c. of the TCRPC bylaws.

It was MOVED by C/Watkins, SUPPORTED by C/Stacey to include an amendment to the above motion that the Clinton County representation be changed from three members to five members on the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. C/Watkins was seeking a vote on the motion and the proposed amendment at the meeting.

C/Spadafore stated that this motion would violate the TCRPC Bylaws. He specified that in Article 11, Section 1 it states that amendments to bylaws must be received five days before the meeting at which an amendment will be considered. Ch/Draheim confirmed that this is correct. She stated that the decision to follow this falls to herself. She asked to hear from other commissioners their thoughts of whether they have any concerns or issues being raised.

C/Brixie stated that if this is in our rules, then the proper thing to do is to either table the item or by consensus send it back the Working Group and have all the amendments in the Board of Commissioners' meeting materials five days prior to the meeting. She stated that this would also give the committee time to agree to the changes.

Ch/Draheim shared that she also had a Bylaws Amendment that she wished to move but it also would not meet the notice requirement.

Commissioners voiced that they would rather send the Bylaws back to the Working Group because we should follow our own rules and would probably not arrive at a consensus at the meeting.

C/Fletcher stated that he did support the Bylaws going back to the Working Group because he could not support the original motion that came out of the Executive Committee.

Ch/Draheim determined that based on what she heard this item would not be voted on because it would violate the Bylaws. Ch/Draheim stated that when this comes back to Executive Committee, the recommended main bylaws amendment motion and any proposed bylaws amendment motions would also need to be included in the meeting materials. C/Watkins stated that he recalls speaking about this proposed amendment at the last Executive Committee Meeting. Ch/Draheim agreed that this was discussed, and this was how the proposed amendment would be brought the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. Ch/Draheim apologized that this cannot go forward due to the violation of the bylaws.

C/Austin voiced concerned about receiving items at the meeting, instead of the meeting materials packet.

C/Stacey stated that it is not Clinton County's intent to bring a new issue to the meeting. Clinton County has been discussing their intent for months now.

C/Sebolt stated discontent with receiving information at the meeting rather than ahead of time. He feels there should be notice in the agenda for consideration of motions. C/Sebolt also stated he thought receiving a memo from the Interim Director that suggests how the Commission should conduct their business when there was not a five days' notice for proposed Bylaws changes was inappropriate.

Ch/Draheim stated she found memos/agendas hard to follow and would like action items with proposed motions on the agenda or hyperlinked to the supporting documents.

C/Watkin withdrew his proposed amendment to the Bylaws.

C/Spadafore stated that the original motion violated the bylaws. C/Watkins stated that there was an action item on the agenda. Ch/Draheim confirmed that the original motion was not included in the meeting materials.

Interim Director Snell stated that on March 13th the Bylaws & Operating Procedures Working Group met and made the proposed change of the "shall" to "may" in the TCRPC Bylaws. The Executive and Personnel Committee met and approved the change unanimously. After this, several commissioners realized the impact the change could have on other jurisdictions' voting membership. Following procedure, Interim Director Snell would have had to put the motion in the meeting materials, out to the public. To avoid confusion and embarrassment of the commissioners, and so the Commission would not take public action on something that was incorrect, it was not included in the meeting materials. He stated that the memo distributed at the Commission meeting may not have been the best approach and he will work on having other methods of communication.

Ch/Draheim stated that she had spoken to commissioners on how to fix the proposed change at the meeting. She stated that there was an agreement within the Working Group and the Executive Committee that the motion would have to come to the Commission for a vote, but then need to be amended.

C/Sebolt asked why the January vote was still being explored when it seemed that there was a recommendation to move away from the January recommendation. Ch/Draheim stopped the conversation.

Ch/Draheim stated that she would work with Executive Committee and staff to provide the action items with proposed amendments upfront.

C/Eakin asked if Ch/Draheim wished to withdraw the original motion. Ch/Draheim stated that is what she would recommend, if C/Watkins was willing to.

C/Watkins withdrew the original motion, with the proposed Bylaws to be on the agenda at the next meeting.

C/Spadafore stated that the agenda should reflect what the committees have sent forward to the Commission.

C/Barnes stated that this process has been exhaustive, and the Commission has done many things that were not technically right.

C/Watkins stated that the proposed Bylaws may come to the Commission in two parts: adding expanded language to the current proposed change(s) and presenting a one-time vote for changing Commission representation to five members for Clinton County.

C/Brixie stated that the Working Group would be making a recommendation to the Board of Commissioners. She asked how other proposed changes can come before the Commission, if it was possible to include in a communication to the Commission. Ch/Draheim confirmed that it could be through a form of alternate communication, such as email, to the Commission. C/Brixie state that the Commission in not agreeing on what to do here and are unsure what the Working Group is going to do.

C/Swope stated that there needs to be a motion to send it back to the working group because it is still before the Commission.

It was MOVED by C/Brixie, SUPPORTED by C/Sebolt to send the proposed Bylaws changes back to the Bylaws & Operating Procedures Working Group. (YES: C/Austin, C/Banas, C/Brixie. C/Draheim, C/Fletcher, C/Jones, C/Maxwell, C/Pohl, C/Schafer, C/Swope, and C/Washington. NO: C/Barnes, C/Eakin, C/Stacey, and C/Watkins) MOTION CARRIED.

C/Banas asked when the Working Group would meet again. Ch/Draheim stated that there is not a scheduled meeting, one would need to be scheduled prior to the Executive and Personnel Committee Meeting and notice requirements. C/Banas asked if there were minutes from the Working Group Meeting. There are not meeting minutes from working groups, rather, there are meeting notes.

C/Fletcher stated that this is regional collaboration body and any issue of governance should be something that can be compromised and worked out to where it is at a consensus. A basic thing like how this organization is going to function should be something all can agree to. He asked, isn't there some way to compromise where everyone can walk away with something they can agree to? He believes there is a way to accomplish this with possibly the three different proposals that have been drafted. If we are truly a regional collaborative body, we need to cooperate on something as basic as our governance. He thought that we should all try to find the compromise. He recommended that this should go back to the working group for the above reasons. He encouraged the Working Group to come up solutions that would not lead to a divisive item that would generate a close vote.

C/Swope asked when the DeWitt population will reach the threshold for TCRPC membership. C/Watkins informed that the population reaches the threshold

now, but there has not been a formal request. It will be official after the upcoming 2020 census.

C/Washington stated that she is sorry for the timeline that this is taking but it is incumbent on the commissioners to know the bylaws. She encouraged commissioners to get more familiar with and understand the bylaws that govern us. C/Washington stated that with a diverse group, you get different opinions at the table, and when we disagree it should be done respectfully and professionally with the understanding that it is business, not personal.

There was clarification regarding notices and the Growth Trends Steering Committee's new scheduled meeting day and time: the five-day notice pertains to changes in Bylaws, not standing committees.

2. Administrative & Executive Staff Functions Working Group Report

The Working Group met and had a conversation regarding consultant search firms and how to ask for proposals. Ch/Draheim has recused herself from the firm selection, due to having a conflict of interest because her employer has submitted a proposal.

The Executive Director search committee has been expanded to include more diversity and external parties involved. There are nine proposed members for the Executive Director Search Committee: Ch/Draheim, C/Watkins, C/Eakins, C/Swope, C/Jones, Edythe Hatter-Williams of Capital Area Michigan Works, Bob Trezise of LEAP, Janet Lilly of MSU, and Tim Daman of Lansing Regional Chamber of Commerce.

Ch/Draheim asked for input regarding this for the Executive Search Committee. C/Pohl stated that he was in support of the committee. The members selected are diverse, regional, and very knowledgeable. C/Brixie suggested that a member from the non-motorized and environmental community participating on the committee. Ch/Draheim stated that there were not contacts to include the environmental community. C/Brixie also brought up having a CATA representative and if there was an imbalance having another Commissioner that was not on the Executive Committee serving. Ch/Draheim stated that originally John Watkin was considered to serve on the committee, but C/Jones brings diversity. C/Stacey disagreed to adding a non-motorized representative, as it would be disproportionate and then others would need to be added to keep accommodating program areas. There was a consensus that nine members was enough for the committee.

C/Swope stated the committee is looking for someone to lead the organization with a vision, not be a subject matter expert. He stated the Commission does need to move forward on the Executive Director Search Committee because they are interviewing firms that have submitted proposals and hope to approve the consulting firm at the next Commission meeting. Formal invites need to be sent so the members are ready.

C/Banas stated that members of the committee have a regional interest. She agrees that Executive Director does not need to be subject expert but needs to bring vision and lead regional collaboration.

C/Jones stated that she represents all interests of transportation, not just roads and highway. She takes input from non-motorized, transit, rail, ports, and freight interests. She has a comprehensive viewpoint and hopes to represent all modes of travel.

A motion was made by C/Pohl and supported by C/Barnes to appoint the discussed individuals to the Executive Director Search Committee. Ch/Draheim advised it was not an action item, but there was agreement amongst the Board of Commissioners to support the selection of Ch/Draheim, C/Watkins, C/Eakins, C/Swope, C/Jones, Edythe Hatter-Williams of Capital Area Michigan Works, Bob Trezise of LEAP, Janet Lilly of MSU, and Tim Daman of Lansing Regional Chamber of Commerce to serve on the Executive Director Search Committee when the time comes to formally request their participation.

C/Watkin stated that the Working Group had met to review the eight proposals received. The Working Group recommended three firms to interviews on April 16, 2018 from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Two firms are from out of state. One firm is in Michigan. The bids from the consultants are from \$20,000 to \$24,000. A consultant firm recommendation will be brought before the Commission in April.

C/Swope stated that the Working Group also discussed the review of the current job description. A draft Executive Director position description will be distributed by email to all the Commissioners. Any changes or input should be sent to Greg Hoffman by April 16.

C/Maxwell asked if there were criteria for selection of the search firm. C/Watkins stated of price, location, and availability were considered. There will be a rubric for scoring the firms at the interview. There were criteria that were stated. Firms were also looked to see if they had searched for a similar position.

A draft job description will be provided to the selected firm to start their search. The firm will work with us to finalize the job description and then be before the Board of Commissioners for action.

C/Jones asked that a draft process for the Executive Director search will be updated and sent out to the Commissioners. Ch/Draheim agreed and the process will be distributed.

IX. Standing Committee Reports

A. Finance Committee Report

1. February Financial Report

C/Eakin requested that the February 2018 Financial Report be received and placed on file.

It was MOVED by C/Eakin, SUPPORTED by C/Swope, that the February 2018 Financial Report be approved. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

2. FY 2018 Budget Revision

C/Eakin stated that the FY 2018 Budget Revision is needed to reflect increased revenues from the Michigan Regional Prosperity Initiative (RPI grants) and to

accommodate the increase in contractual services for the Executive Director search. He noted that the \$30,000 was reported in Furniture/Equipment Purchases when in fact the line item should be in Contractual Services. C/Eakin asked that the fund balance be shown to ensure TCRPC has the funding to pay for a search firm for the Executive Director Search.

It was MOVED by C/Eakin, SUPPORTED by C/Swope, to approve the FY 2018 Budget Revision with correction noted above. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

3. FY 2019 Preliminary Budget
C/Eakin stated that the FY 2019 Preliminary Budget had been developed. The FY 2019 will be before the Commission again in its final draft form.

It was MOVED by C/Eakin, SUPPORTED by C/Spadafore to approve the FY 2019 Preliminary Budget. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B. Transportation Review Committee Report

C/Banas chaired the March 14th Transportation Review Committee Meeting.

1. Proposed Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Revisions

a. MDOT

MDOT's proposed amendments were presented to the committee.

It was MOVED by Ch/Draheim, SUPPORTED by C/Barnes, to recommend MDOT's proposed amendment requests as outlined in the FY 2017-2020 TIP Revision #8 Memorandum, dated January 31, 2018 to the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

b. 2018 Bridge Program

The 2018 Bridge Program Amendments were presented to the committee.

It was MOVED by C/Banas SUPPORTED by C/Sebolt, to recommend the proposed 2018 Bridge Program amendment requests as outlined in the FY 2017-2020 TIP Revision #5 Memorandums, dated January 31, 2018 to the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

c. Harper Road Railroad Project

The Harper Road Railroad project was presented to the committee. There was discussion who the responsible agency is. Tom Doyle volunteered to investigate the answer. T. Doyle found that Adrian & Blissfield Railroad is the parent company of JAIL.

It was MOVED by C/Watkins, SUPPORTED by C/Pohl, to recommend the proposed 2018 Bridge Program amendment requests as outlined in the FY 2017-2020 TIP Revision #4 Memorandum, dated January 31, 2018 to the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

d. Rural Task Force 6 Amendments

Clinton County Road Commission purchased \$368,637 of Rural Surface Transportation Program funds from Arenac Co. The funding allowed for the proposed changes. The Rural Task Force 6 recommends the proposed changes to CARTS and TRC.

It was MOVED by C/Banas, SUPPORTED by C/Spadafore, to recommend the proposed Rural Task Force 6 amendment requests as outlined in the FY 2017-2020 TIP Revision #8 Memorandum, dated January 31, 2018 to the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

e. FY 2019 Small Urban Project- S. Mullett Street

The City of Williamston would like to add the project be added to the FY 2017-2020 TIP.

It was MOVED by C/Banas, SUPPORTED by C/Barnes, to recommend the proposed FY 2019 Small Urban Projects- S. Mullet St. amendment requests as outlined in the FY 2017-2020 TIP Revision #2 Memorandum, dated January 18, 2018 to the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

f. FY 2019 Safety Project- Temple Street

The City of Mason was successful at receiving safety funding for a pedestrian crossing on Temple Street. The city is requesting the project be added to the FY 2017-2020 TIP.

It was MOVED by C/Banas, SUPPORTED by C/Barnes, to recommend the proposed FY 2019 Safety Project- Temple Street amendment requests as outlined in the FY 2017-2020 TIP Revision #7 Memorandum, dated February 7, 2018 to the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

g. Transit- Michivan

The Michivan projects are 100% funded by Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Funding. The funding funds FY 2019 thru FY 2021. The committee asked questions regarding the rideshare program. Chief Planner Snell suggested offering a rideshare presentation in the future.

It was MOVED by C/Banas, SUPPORTED by C/Spadafore, to recommend the proposed Transit-Michivan amendment requests as outlined in the FY 2017-2020 TIP Revision #5 Memorandum dated January 18, 2018 to the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

h. Old Lansing Road Bike Lanes- Advance Construct

Delta Township/Eaton County Road Commission have requested to Advance Construct their 2020 Old Lansing Road Bike Lanes Project in FY 2018, with conversion in 2020. Old Lansing Road is being constructed with county funding in 2018.

It was **MOVED** by C/Banas, **SUPPORTED** by C/Barnes, to recommend the proposed H. Old Lansing Road Bike Lanes- Advance Construct request as outlined in the FY 2017-2020 TIP Revision #5 Memorandum, dated January 24, 2018 to the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

i. Elmwood Road- Advance Construct

Eaton County Road Commission has requested to Advance Construct their 2019 Elmwood Project in FY 2018, with conversion in 2019.

It was **MOVED** by C/Banas, **SUPPORTED** by C/Spadafore, to recommend the proposed Elmwood Project Advance Construct request as outlined in the FY 2017-2020 TIP Revision #11 Memorandum, dated March 5, 2018 to the TCRPC Board of Commissioners. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

2. 2045 Socio-Economic (SE) Data

Chief Planner Snell stated that as we are developing the MTP and need an adopted set of future socio-economic data to predict the travel demand in the year in 2045. How road infrastructure and transit will serve these trips is what is projected so we can plan accordingly. The next step will be to apply the data to the road trip modeling for the region.

It was **MOVED** by C/Barnes, **SUPPORTED** by C/Banas, to approve the TCRPC 2045 Socio-Economic Data. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

X. Commissioner Reports

C/Pohl stated that MAR had met and reported about Redevelopment Ready Communities that are gaining in the state.

XI. Opportunity for Public Comment

There was no public comment.

XII. Other Business

XIII. Adjourn

It was **MOVED** by C/Spadafore, **SUPPORTED** by C/Brixie, to adjourn the meeting at 7:48 p.m. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

*The next meeting of the Board of Commissioners is scheduled for
April 25, 2018 at 6 p.m. at the TCRPC office.*

2018 TCRPC Commission Attendance

Commissioner	Representing	MEETING DATE												
		1/10	1/24	2/28	3/28	4/25	5/23	6/27	7/25	8/22	9/26	10/24	11/15	12/13
Brian T. Jackson	City of Lansing, Council	X	X	X										
Nathan Triplett*	City of Lansing, Capital Area Transportation Authority (CATA)	*	*	X										
Peter Spadafore	City of Lansing, Council	X		X	X									
Chris Swope	City of Lansing	X	X	X	X									
Jody Washington	City of Lansing, Council	X	X	X	X									
Dave Pohl	Clinton County Board of Commissioners	X	X	X	X									
Adam Stacey	Clinton County Board of Commissioners	X	X	X	X									
Gail Watkins	Clinton County Road Commission	X	X	X	X									
Kent Austin	Eaton County Board of Commissioners	X	X		X									
Christine Barnes	Eaton Area Transit Authority (EATRAN)	X	X	X	X									
Roger A. Eakin	Eaton County Board of Commissioners	X	X	X	X									
Kenneth Fletcher	Eaton County, Delta Charter Twp.	X	X	X	X									
Dorothy E. Maxwell	Eaton County Road Commission	X	X	X	X									
Teri Banas	Ingham County Road Department	X	X	X	X									
Julie Brixie	Ingham County, Meridian Charter Twp.	X	X	X	X									
Shanna Draheim	Ingham County, City of East Lansing	X	X	X	X									
Randy Schafer	Ingham County Board of Commissioners	**	X	X	X									
Ryan Sebolt	Ingham County Board of Commissioners	X	X	X	X									
Denise Jones	Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT)	X	X	X	X									
	TOTAL (19)	17	17	18	17									

Others in attendance

1/10/2018: Dr. Dwight Washington, Ericka Hartigan, Janet Arcuicci, TCRPC Staff: Linda Thomas-Boyd, Jim Snell, Greg Hoffman, Rachel Elsinga, Andrea Strach

**C/Schafer assumed his seat at the January 24th annual meeting.

1/24/2018: Rep. Tom Cochran, Jeanne Pearl-Wright, Bob Showers, Kam Washburn, Brian McGrain, Judi Brown Clarke, Dr. Dwight Washington, TCRPC Staff: Jim Snell, Greg Hoffman, Laura Tschirhart, Nicole Baumer, Ken Hall, Andrea Strach, Rachel Elsinga, Cliff Walls, Jeremy Orr, Linda Thomas-Boyd, Paul Dionne

2/28/2018: Kam Washburn, Ericka Hartigan, Janet Arcuicci, TCRPC Staff: Jim Snell, Greg Hoffman, Laura Tschirhart, Nicole Baumer, Ken Hall, Andrea Strach, Rachel Elsinga, Cliff Walls, Jeremy Orr, Linda Thomas-Boyd

*Nathan Triplett replaced Robin Lewis as Commissioner/CATA's representative

3/28/2018: Ken Kaltenbach, The Corradino Group; Phil Kazmierski, The Mannik & Smith Group; Janet Arcuicci, Ericka Hartigan, CATA; Blake Mulder, Eaton County Board of Commissioners; Vercilla Hart, Citizens to Keep Rives Rural; TCRPC Staff: Jim Snell, Greg Hoffman, Laura Tschirhart, Nicole Baumer, Andrea Strach, Rachel Elsinga, Cliff Walls, and Jeremy Orr.